

<b><i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i></b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/564,063	ISHII ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	VERA STULII	1781	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) VERA STULII (Examiner, USPTO). (3) \_\_\_\_.

(2) CUNNINGHAM, THOMAS (Applicants' representative). (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 11 August 2011.

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (copy given to: ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative)

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_.

Issues Discussed ☐ 101 ☐ 112 ☐ 102 ☐ 103 ☒ Others  
(For each of the checked box(es) above, please describe below the issue and detailed description of the discussion)

Claim(s) discussed: 1-4 and 18-20.

Identification of prior art discussed: N/A.

**Substance of Interview**  
(For each issue discussed, provide a detailed description and indicate if agreement was reached. Some topics may include: identification or clarification of a reference or a portion thereof, claim interpretation, proposed amendments, arguments of any applied references etc...)

Mr. CUNNINGHAM agreed to amend the claims 2, 3 and 4 to include the same language as claim 1 (wherein said pea protein is from green peas of the species *Pisum Sativum* L and wherein said alcoholic beverage has a higher NIBEM value than a beverage made by an otherwise identical process that does not add green pea protein). Mr. Cunningham also agreed to cancel claims 18, 19 and 20. Agreement with respect to the claims was reached.

**Applicant recodation instructions:** It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of interview.

**Examiner recodation instructions:** Examiners must summarize the substance of any interview of record. A complete and proper recodation of the substance of an interview should include the items listed in MPEP 713.04 for complete and proper recodation including the identification of the general thrust of each argument or issue discussed, a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed regarding patentability and the general results or outcome of the interview, to include an indication as to whether or not agreement was reached on the issues raised.

☐ Attachment

/Vera Stulii/ Examiner, Art Unit 1781	
--	--